# Crystal and Molecular Structures of Butan-2-one *p*-Nitrophenylhydrazone (1), Propanal 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone (2), Dimethyl 2-Oxopropanedioate Phenylhydrazone (3), and Dimethyl 2-Oxopropanedioate 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone (4): Hydrogen Bonding and Bond Order in Phenylhydrazones

By (the late) Brian Vickery, Chemistry Department, Kenyatta University College, P.O. Box 43844, Nairobi, Kenya

Gerald R. Willey, Department of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL Michael G. B. Drew,\* Department of Chemistry, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 2AD

Crystals of the title compound (1),  $C_{10}H_{13}N_3O_2$ , are monoclinic, a = 4.119(11), b = 18.992(12), c = 13.992(10) Å,  $\beta = 96.0(1)^\circ$ , space group  $P2_1/c$ , Z = 4. Compound (2),  $C_9H_{10}N_4O_4$ , is monoclinic a = 5.333(7), b = 11.488(8), c = 17.713(10) Å,  $\beta = 95.0(1)^\circ$ , space group  $P2_1/c$ , Z = 4. Compound (3),  $C_{11}H_{12}N_2O_4$ , is monoclinic, a = 21.331(14), b = 4.153(10), c = 13.640(12) Å,  $\beta = 102.8(1)^\circ$ , space group  $P2_1/a$ , Z = 4. Compound (4),  $C_{11}H_{10}N_4O_8$ , is monoclinic, a = 11.198(8), b = 14.779(9), c = 8.443(7) Å,  $\beta = 92.4(1)^\circ$ , space group  $P2_1/a$ , Z = 4. All four structures were solved by the statistical method using the SHEL-X-76 system of programs, and refined using full matrix least squares. The numbers of reflexions used in refinement and the final *R* values are (1) 330, 0.103; (2) 611, 0.059; (3) 863, 0.061; and (4) 1 274, 0.070, respectively. In all cases the (NO<sub>2</sub>)-Ar-NH-N=C-(C=O) fragment is essentially planar. The NO<sub>2</sub>··· HN and C=O··· HN separations suggest that there is substantial intramolecular NO<sub>2</sub>··· H-N bonding in the crystal. In phenylhydrazones whose structures are known, there is an inverse relationship between Ar-NH and N-N bond lengths, and a direct relationship between Ar-N(H) and N=C bond lengths.

In previous work <sup>1</sup> we studied the spectroscopic properties of a wide range of phenylhydrazones particularly with respect to hydrogen bonding. We found that o-nitro or o-carbonyl groups formed strong hydrogen structures of four of the previously studied compounds [(1)-(4)] by X-ray crystallography. These compounds were chosen since potentially they could show no intramolecular hydrogen bonding (1), NO<sub>2</sub> · · · H-N bonding



Compounds (1)-(4) with crystallographic numbering scheme

bonds to the N-H group which were readily observed by <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. but not by i.r. spectroscopy. Terminal carbonyl groups as in (3) also formed strong hydrogen bonds to the N-H group and these could be detected by both <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. and i.r. spectroscopy. When both *o*nitro or *o*-carbonyl groups and terminal carbonyl groups were present the N-H hydrogen atom was doubly hydrogen bonded, and this was apparent in the <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. spectrum. In order to confirm the presence of the proposed hydrogen bonding we have determined the (2), C=O · · · HN bonding (3), and both types of hydrogen bonding (4).

## EXPERIMENTAL

In the four cases, needle shaped crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained by slow evaporation of methanolic solutions. Preparative details for these compounds have been given.<sup>1</sup> Crystal data are given in Table 1.

Crystallographic Measurements and Structure Analysis.— Each of the four crystals was mounted on a General Electric XRD5 diffractometer which was equipped with a manual goniostat, scintillation counter and pulse-height discriminator. Zirconium-filtered molybdenum X-radiation ( $\lambda = 0.710$  7 Å) was used. The stationary-crystal-stationarycounter method was used with a 4° take-off angle and a



FIGURE 1 Molecular dimensions for compound (1). Bond lengths in Å. Mean standard deviations are 0.005 Å, 3°

counting time of 10 s. Individual backgrounds were taken from plots of background against 20. Absorption and extinction corrections were not applied.

All four structures were solved by the statistical method using the SHEL-X-76 system of programs.<sup>2</sup> All structures were refined using full-matrix least squares with a weighting scheme that gave similar values of  $\omega \Delta^2$  with ranges of  $F_o$ 

## TABLE 1

Crystal data						
Compound	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)		
Formula M	$\begin{array}{c} C_{10}H_{13}N_{3}O_{2}\\ 207.1 \end{array}$	$C_9H_{10}N_4O_4$ 238.1	$\begin{array}{c} C_{11}H_{12}N_{2}O_{4}\\ 236.1 \end{array}$	$\substack{C_{11}H_{10}N_4O_8\\326.1}$		
Crystal class	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Monoclinic		
Space group	$P2_1/c$	$P2_1/c$	$P2_1/a$	$P2_1/a$		
$a(\text{\AA})$	4.119(11	) = 5.333(7)	21.331(14	) 11.198(8)		
b(A)	18.992(12	) 11.488(8)	4.153(10	) 14.779(9)		
$c(\mathbf{A})$	13.992(10	) 17.713(10)	) = 13.640(12)	) 8.443(7)		
β(°)	96.0(1)	95.0(1)	102.8(1)	92.4(1)		
$U(Å^3)$	1 088.6	1 081.2	1 178.1	1 396.0		
$\mu(cm^{-1})$	0.98	1.27	1.11	1.45		
F(000)	440	496	496	672		
$D_{\rm m}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	1.25	1.43	1.31	1.52		
$D_{c}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	1.26	1.46	1.33	1.15		
2	4	4	4	4		

and  $(\sin \theta)/\lambda \ viz.$ ,  $\omega^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1$  for  $F_o < F^*$  and  $\omega^{\frac{1}{2}} = F^*/F_o$  for  $F_o > F^*$  (values of  $F^*$  are given in Table 2). For all structures hydrogen atoms were fixed in trigonal or tetrahedral positions at 1.08 Å from the carbon atoms and their

\* For details see Notice to Authors No. 7 in J.C.S. Perkin II, 1979, Index Issue.

thermal parameters were allowed to refine. When the thermal parameter refined to an unreasonable value it was fixed at the same value as the carbon atom to which it was bonded. H(7) on N(7) was allowed to refine freely in (4) but with the N-H bond length constrained to 1.00(5) Å in (1)—(3). Scattering factors were taken from ref. 3. Calculations were made on the CDC 7600 computer at the University of London Computer Centre. The final difference-Fourier maps were featureless. Zero-weighted reflections gave no large discrepancies. Data collection and refinement details are given in Table 2. Details of interatomic distances and co-ordinates are listed in Tables 3—6. Bond lengths and bond angles are shown in Figures 1—4. Table 7 lists least-squares-plane calculations. The anisotropic thermal parameters, observed and calculated struc-



FIGURE 2 Molecular dimensions for compound (2). Bond lengths in Å. Mean standard deviations are 0.018 Å,  $1.3^{\circ}$ 

ture factors, and hydrogen atom parameters are listed in Supplementary Publication No. SUP 22925 (26 pp.).\*

#### DISCUSSION

In a previous paper,<sup>1</sup> we showed that the chemical shifts for the NH protons in the compounds under discussion were: (1)  $\delta$  7.7; (2) 11.0; (3) 12.9; and (4) 14.3 (all in CDCl<sub>3</sub>).<sup>†</sup> We had proposed that the series reflected an increase in hydrogen bond strength. Thus (1) forms no intramolecular hydrogen bonds; (2) and (3) form NO<sub>2</sub>··· HN and C=O··· HN bonds respectively, whilst (4) forms both types of hydrogen bond.

 $\dagger$  These compounds were called (Ik), (IIj), (IIIb), and (IVb), respectively, in ref. 1.

TABLE 2

Data collection and refinement details

Compound	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Crystal size (mm)	0.4~ imes~0.1~ imes~1.25	0.15 imes0.35 imes1.5	$0.75 imes ext{ }0.4 imes ext{ }1.2$	0.35 imes 0.6 imes 1.0
Rotation axis	a *	a *	(110)	a *
$2\theta_{\rm max}$ (Mo- $K_{\alpha}$ ) radiation	35	40	40	40
No. of data measured	696	1 000	1 081	1 734
Criterion for data inclusion	$I > \sigma$ (I)	$I > \sigma$ (I)	$I > \sigma$ (I)	$l > 2\sigma$ (I)
No. of data used in refinement	330	611	863	1 274
F * in weighting scheme	40	65	70	25
Final R value	0.103	0.059	0.061	0.070

It is apparent that compounds (1)—(4) have structures which are consistent with the <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. data. However, there are some remarkable variations in bond lengths



FIGURE 3 Molecular dimensions for compound (3). Bond lengths in Å. Mean standard deviations are 0.016 Å,  $1.1^{\circ}$ 

which are discussed below. We shall first discuss each structure in turn.

In compound (1) (Figure 1) nearly all the atoms are

## TABLE 3

Atomic co-ordinates for compound (1)  $(\times 10^4)$  with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

Atom	x	У	z
N(1)	$1\ 236(75)$	$3\ 108(18)$	275(28)
O(2)	145(79)	3662(14)	617(23)
O(3)	613(65)	2 992(16)	-603(23)
C(1)	3 056(80)	2608(20)	855(27)
C(6)	4 161 (82)	1 995(19)	442(30)
C(5)	5 968(78)	1504(17)	$1\ 014(26)$
C(4)	6 767(78)	1 623(23)	$2\ 018(27)$
C(3)	$5\ 483(84)$	$2 \ 255(20)$	$2 \ 396(27)$
C(2)	3 800(76)	2723(20)	1841(24)
N(7)	8 652(68)	1.170(18)	2613(22)
N(8)	9 505(73)	575(18)	2  153(26)
C(9)	$11 \ 375(78)$	196(22)	2708(31)
C(10)	12535(84)	269(19)	$3\ 766(28)$
C(11)	12  523(90)	-513(20)	$2\ 351(28)$
C(12)	$11\ 423(88)$	-648(21)	$1\ 301(27)$

coplanar (Table 7). There are no intramolecular hydrogen bonds and only one intermolecular contact less than 3.25 Å (Table 8). The N(7)  $\cdots$  O(3) distance is

3.00 Å and it appears that a weak hydrogen bond may be formed as the N-H···O and H···O-N angles are 120 and 121°, respectively.

In compound (2) (Figure 2) an intramolecular hydrogen bond is formed between H(7) and O(6) of length 1.92 Å [the N(7)  $\cdots$  O(6) distance is 2.61 Å]. This oxygen atom is also involved in a short intermolecular contact, O(6)  $\cdots$  O(6<sup>1</sup>) 2.78 Å, across a centre of symmetry. This mode of packing of pairs of molecules appears to be



FIGURE 4 Molecular dimensions for compound (4). Bond lengths in Å. Mean standard deviations are 0.012 Å,  $0.8^{\circ}$ 

determined by intermolecular hydrogen bonding,  $H(7) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(6^{T})$  and  $H(7^{T}) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(6)$  ca. 2.1 Å. Both nitro-groups are almost coplanar with the benzene ring (Table 7).

In compound (3) an intramolecular hydrogen bond is formed between O(13) of the CO<sub>2</sub>Me group and H(7) (Figure 3). Atomic separations are N(7)  $\cdots$  O(13) 2.57, H(7)  $\cdots$  O(13) 1.79 Å. As would be expected the formation of this hydrogen bond leads to the CO<sub>2</sub>Me group being almost coplanar with the benzene ring (Table 7), the angle of intersection being  $9.2^{\circ}$ . Thus atoms H(7), N(7), N(8), C(9), C(10), and O(13) form an approximate hexagon. The other CO<sub>2</sub>Me group intersects the benzene ring plane at an angle of 16.3°. There are no close intermolecular contacts in this case.

### TABLE 4

Atomic co-ordinates for compound (2)  $(\times 10^4)$  with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

Atom	x	у	z
C(1)	2579(26)	1751(12)	1880(8)
C(2)	4 452(23)	2 082(12)	1443(7)
C(3)	5743(24)	$1\ 256(12)$	1.089(7)
C(4)	$5\ 242(24)$	49(12)	1 171(7)
C(5)	$3\ 286(24)$	-243(12)	1 635(7)
C(6)	2003(25)	585(11)	1.984(8)
N(1)	$1\ 157(24)$	$2\ 632(11)$	$2\ 261(7)$
O(2)	1.788(19)	3674(9)	2 203(6)
O(3)	-604(19)	$2 \ 332(10)$	2 618(6)
N(4)	7 633(20)	1.682(11)	635(6)
O(5)	$8\ 053(17)$	2  734(9)	613(6)
O(6)	8 816(18)	966(9)	281(6)
N(7)	6543(21)	-788(9)	864(6)
N(8)	5 896(21)	-1946(9)	968(6)
C(9)	7 397(27)	-2 683(12)	750(8)
C(14)	6 989(30)	-3954(12)	820(10)
C(15)	4 452(32)	-4.267(13)	1 096(10)

For compound (4) H(7) is hydrogen bonded to both O(13) of the  $CO_2Me$  group (Figure 4) and O(6) of the *o*-nitro-group. N(7) is 2.70 Å from O(13), and 2.62 Å from O(6). H(7) is equidistant from both oxygens at 2.07 and 2.08 Å, respectively. It will be noted that these H  $\cdot \cdot \cdot$  O separations are slightly longer than those in (2) and (3). The results from our <sup>1</sup>H n.m.r. studies are consistent with these data. The NH chemical shift increases between compounds (2) and (3) (see above) while the H(7)  $\cdot \cdot \cdot O$  distance becomes shorter and the bond presumably becomes stronger. The formation of two hydrogen bonds simultaneously increases both

#### TABLE 5

Atomic co-ordinates for compound (3)  $(\times 10^4)$  with estimated standard deviations in parentheses

Atom	х	y	z
C(1)	2 745(6)	13 588(27)	$6\ 412(9)$
C(2)	$2\ 101(5)$	$13\ 015(28)$	5952(9)
C(3)	1714(5)	$11\ 266(25)$	6 461(8)
C(4)	1.985(5)	$10\ 161(27)$	7 421(8)
C(5)	2617(5)	$10\ 852(26)$	7 893(8)
C(6)	2998(5)	12546(28)	7 374(9)
N(7)	1628(4)	$8\ 279(22)$	7 992(7)
N(8)	1.064(4)	$7\ 096(22)$	7 527(6)
C(9)	731(5)	$5\ 247(27)$	8 025(8)
C(19)	114(5)	3974(29)	7 419(9)
O(11)	46(3)	4 565(22)	$6\ 442(5)$
C(12)	-553(6)	$3\ 406(36)$	5 786(9)
O(13)	-283(4)	$2\ 600(24)$	7 749(6)
C(14)	981(6)	$4\ 434(27)$	9 094(8)
O(15)	578(3)	2653(21)	9491(5)
C(16)	803(6)	1850(32)	10551(8)
O(17)	1.504(4)	$5\ 261(23)$	9.585(5)

 $NH \cdots O$  separations, presumably because the most favourable configuration for hydrogen bonding cannot be taken up to both oxygen atoms at the same time. Also the effect of double hydrogen bonding does not have an additive effect on the proton chemical shift (see values above) in agreement with the crystallographic data.

### TABLE 6

Atomic co-ordinates for compound (4) ( $\times 10^4$ ) with estimated standard deviation in parentheses

Atom	х	У	z
C(3)	1394(7)	1800(5)	3873(9)
C(4)	2 091(7)	$2\ 465(5)$	4653(8)
C(5)	1 761(7)	3 367(6)	4 429(10)
C(6)	787(8)	3 596(6)	3 446(10)
N(1)	-934(7)	3 160(7)	1 691(9)
O(2)	-1637(7)	2575(6)	1297(9)
O(3)	-1.036(8)	3942(6)	1271(11)
N(4)	1675(8)	844(5)	3 976(9)
O(5)	946(8)	296(5)	3 453(13)
O(6)	2629(6)	601(4)	4 614(8)
N(7)	3 070(6)	$2\ 245(5)$	$5\ 631(9)$
N(8)	3 670(6)	2920(4)	6 409(8)
C(9)	4 575(7)	2728(5)	7 353(9)
C(10)	$5\ 055(7)$	1815(6)	7 687(10)
O(11)	$6\ 021(5)$	1866(4)	8 653(8)
C(12)	6 614(9)	$1\ 010(7)$	9 084(14)
O(13)	$4\ 626(5)$	$1\ 120(4)$	7 157(8)
C(14)	5 130(8)	$3\ 521(6)$	8 219(11)
O(15)	$6\ 056(5)$	3849(4)	7 517(7)
C(16)	6 695(9)	4596(7)	8 339(13)
O(17)	4 757(7)	3808(5)	$9\ 421(9)$

TABLE 7

Least-squares-plane calculations (Å) through atoms C(n)(n = 1-6 inclusive). Deviations of other atoms from that plane are also listed

-				
Compound	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
C(1)	0.00	-0.01	0.01	0.00
$\mathbf{C}(2)$	0.01	0.01	-0.00	0.01
C(3)	-0.02	-0.00	-0.02	-0.01
<b>C</b> (4)	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.00
C(5)	-0.01	-0.00	-0.00	0.00
<b>C</b> (6)	0.00	0.00	-0.01	-0.01
N(1)	0.01	-0.01		0.00
O(2)	-0.00	0.07		0.26
O(3)	0.01	0.08		-0.27
N(4)		-0.01		0.06
O(5)		0.04		0.12
O(6)		-0.06		-0.26
N(7)	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.01
N (8)	0.00	0.04	0.32	0.08
<b>C</b> (9)	0.14	0.27	0.41	0.12
C(10)	0.21		0.24	0.09
C(14)	0.03	0.03	0.74	0.28
A(°)	1.0	2.8		14.4
B(°)		4.1		10.4
C(°)			9.2	1.9
$\mathbf{D}(\circ)$			16.3	85.0
. /				

A--D are the angles of intersection between the benzene ring plane and other planes, *viz.* A, N(1), O(2), O(3); B, N(4), O(5), O(6); C, C(10), O(11), C(12), O(13); D, C(14), O(15), C(16), O(17).

TABLE 8Intermolecular distances <3.25 Å in the four structures</td>Compound AtomsDistance (Å)Symmetry element \*(1)N(7)  $\cdots$  O(3)3.001 +  $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$ 

(1)	$N(7) \cdots O(3)$	3.00	$1 + x, \pm - y, \pm + z$
(2)	$C(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(3)$	3.23	1 + x, y
. ,	$O(6) \cdots O(6)$	2.78	2 - x, -y, -z
	$O(5) \cdot \cdot \cdot N(1)$	3.23	1 + x, y, z
	$O(3) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(9)$	3.23	$1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$
(3)	None		
(4)	$O(17) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(2)$	3.25	$x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 1 + z$
• •	$O(17) \cdots O(2)$	3.07	$x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$
	$O(15) \cdot \cdot \cdot N(4)$	3.13	$\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z$
	$O(15) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(6)$	3.18	$\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z$
	$O(15) \cdot \cdot \cdot N(7)$	3.25	$\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z$
	$C(4) \cdots N(2)$	3.22	$\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z$
	$O(3) \cdots C(12)$	3.14	$\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 1 - z$
	$C(14) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(5)$	3.19	$\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, 1 - z$
	$O(15) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(5)$	3.18	$\frac{1}{3} - x, \frac{1}{3} + y, 1 - z$

\* The first atom is at x, y, z. The second atom has this symmetry element applied to it.

S

N

M St

In (4) both nitro-groups are twisted out of the plane of the benzene ring, about the ring-N axis, by angles of 14.4 (*ortho*) and 10.4° (*para*). The reason for this is unclear since the nitro-groups in compounds (1) and (2) are planar. It has however been shown that while nitrobenzene is planar,<sup>4</sup> in *m*-dinitrobenzene the nitrogroups are inclined to the ring plane at an angle of  $11^{\circ.5}$ One cannot visualise any steric reason for this inclination in this last compound.

The  $CO_2Me$  group which is hydrogen bonded to NH is virtually planar with the ring (Table 7); the second  $CO_2$ -Me group, which is not involved in hydrogen bonding,

## TABLE 9

Bond lengths (Å) for the >C(4)-N(7)H(7)-N(8)=C(9)fragment in a series of phenylhydrazones

(A) Compounds having no terminal group conjugating with the  $N\left(8\right){=}C(9)$  bond

Compound	Reference	C(4) - N(7)	N(7) - N(8)	N(8) - C(9)
(1) <i>a</i>	This work	1.38	1.36	1.26
(2) b	This work	1.331	1.391	1.249
(5) c	6	1.350	1.424	1.304
$(6)^{4}$	7	1.356	1.399	1.269
(7) •	8	1.361	1.400	1.300
(8) f	9	1.353	1.372	1.284
(9) 9	10	1.351	1.417	1.273
$(10)^{h}$	11	1.403	1.354	1.284
(11) 4	12	1.372	1.369	1.254
Mean		1.361	1.39	1.28
tandard devia	ations	0.021	0.025	0.019
lean bond ord	ler	1.5	1.2	1.9

(B) Compounds having terminal groups conjugating to the  $N(8){=}C(9)$  bond

(12) j	13	1.423	1.360	1.314
$(3)^{k}$	This work	1.434	1.324	1.330
(4) <sup>1</sup>	This work	1.380	1.357	1.294
$(13)^{m}$	14	1.394	1.333	1.312
$(14)^{n}$	15	1.416	1.308	1.313
(15) °	16	1.36	1.33	1.27
(16) <i>p</i>	17	1.410	1.345	1.331
(17) <i>q</i>	18	1.425	1.361	1.308
ean		1.41	1.34	1.31
andard dev	iation	0.025	0.019	0.020

" Butan-2-one p-nitrophenylhydrazone (1). Propanal 2,4dinitrophenylhydrazone (2). Propanone p-nitrophenylhydrazone (5). 4 5-Bromomethylenecyclononanone 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone (6). \* *p*-Dimethylaniinobenzaldehyde *p*-nitrophenylhydrazone hydrochloride (7). <sup>f</sup> *p*-Methyltrophenylhydrazone *p*-nitrophenylhydrazone hydrochloride (7). *f p*-Methyl-benzophenone 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone (8). *f* Propanone *m*-nitrophenylhydrazone (9). *b* Benzoyl chloride phenyl-<sup>i</sup> Mannose *p*-bromophenylhydrazone (11). phenvlhydrazone (12). <sup>k</sup> Dimethyl 2hydrazone (10). <sup>1</sup>2-Oxopropanal phenylhydrazone (12). <sup>k</sup>Dimethyl 2-oxopropanedioate phenylhydrazone (3). <sup>l</sup>Dimethyl 2-oxo-propanedioate 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone (4). <sup>m</sup>1,2-naphtheominous 1.4 chlore 2.5 chl naphthoquinoue 1-(4-chloro-2-nitrophenylhydrazone) (13). <sup>*n*</sup> Dehydroascorbic acid p-bromophenylhydrazone (14). ° a-(4-Chloro-2-nitrophenylazoacetoacetanilide) (15). p 1-(p-Nitrophenylazo)naphthalene-2-carbaldehyde (quinonoid form) <sup>g</sup> Bis[2,6-diacetylpyridiniumbis(phenylhydrazone)] (16).uranyl tetrachloride (17).

is twisted out of the ring plane by an angle of  $85^{\circ}$ . There are a number of short intermolecular contacts for (4) (Table 8) but none of these are indicative of hydrogen bonding. In this case the close packing of two molecules, as seen in (2), is prevented by the presence of the hydrogen-bonded CO<sub>2</sub>Me group.

We have searched the Cambridge Data Centre files for fragments of the type  $R^1R^2C_6H_3NH-N=C <$  and the dimensions of the compounds extracted from the files

are given in Table 9. In all the phenylhydrazones listed in Table 9 the ring-N(7)H(7)N(8)O(9) moiety has been found to be planar. This suggests that the N(7) atoms are  $sp^2$  hybridised with the lone pair of electrons in a  $p_z$ orbital.\* This lone pair is then able to conjugate with the aromatic ring and with the N(8)=C(9) bond. Dewar<sup>19</sup> has stated that the ring-N(7)  $\pi$ -interaction in comparable systems must be large in order to make the  $N(sp^2)$  configuration the preferred form rather than  $N(sp^3)$ . It seems clear that in these phenylhydrazones there is considerable conjugation from the N(8)=C(9)bond via N(7) to the aromatic ring. Evidence to support this is provided by the C-C and C-N bond lengths. It has been shown that for C-C bonds with bond orders between one and two that there is a straight line relationship between bond length and bond order.<sup>20</sup> If we assume that a similar relationship holds for C-N and N-N bonds we can obtain equations relating bond length to bond order and use these to determine bond orders in phenylhydrazones. For C-N bonds, for a bond order of unity we shall use the C-N bond length of methylamine which is 1.47 Å.21 In molecular orbital calculations 1.27 Å has been taken as the C=N bond length; <sup>22</sup> in two of the compounds quoted in Table 9 however this bond length is 1.25 Å so we shall use this as the bond length for bond order two. Use of these values gives equation (1).

Bond order C-N =

$$-4.54$$
 (Bond length C-N) + 7.68 (1)

For N-N single bonds we shall use the N-N single bond length for hydrazine, 1.45 Å,<sup>23</sup> and for N=N bonds the value of 1.24 Å given by Dewar.<sup>22</sup> These lead to equation (2).

Bond order 
$$N-N =$$

-5.56 (Bond length N-N) + 8.89 (2)

In Table 9 we show bond lengths for 17 phenylhydrazones whose molecular dimensions are known accurately. We have divided these compounds into two groups: those without terminal carbonyl or other conjugating groups (set A) and those with such groups (set B). Taking the compounds as a whole we have discovered interesting relationships between certain bond lengths; thus the C(4)-N(7) bond length is inversely proportional to the N(7)-N(8) bond length (correlation -0.69) and directly proportional to the N(8)-C(9) bond length (correlation 0.73).

To explain this we assume that changes in the groups which are attached to the ring-N(7)-N(8)-C(9) fragment will have an effect on the electron density within this moiety. If we first consider a molecule which has no terminal carbonyl groups, conjugation of N(7) with the ring would lead to a transfer of electron density to the

<sup>\*</sup> In our work the positions of the hydrogen atoms were not determined accurately enough for us to be completely certain that the N(7) atoms are not slightly pyramidal. But non-planarity seems unlikely from the C(4)-N(7)-C(8) angle (Table 10) and the least-squares-plane (Table 7).

ring and give predicted bond orders, viz. C(4)-N(7)>1. N(8)-C(9) ca. 2, and N(7)-N(8) ca. 1. This is borne out in our calculated bond order data for these compounds [Table 9(A)].

On considering molecules which possess terminal carbonyl group(s), set B, canonical form descriptions indicate a relative increase in the bond order of the N(7)-N(8) linkage with a corresponding decrease in bond order of the C(4)-N(7) and N(8)-C(9) bonds, respectively. Results are given in Table 9(B). A comparison of bond lengths for the two sets of compounds gives: C(4)-N(7)set B (mean 1.41 Å) > set A (mean 1.361 Å); N(8)-C(9)set B (mean 1.31 Å) > set A (mean 1.28 Å); N(7)-N(8)set B (mean 1.34 Å) < set A (mean 1.39 Å). The differences in bond lengths between the two groups of compounds were statistically significant (p > 0.95) in every case.

The presence or absence of a carbonyl group seems to be the most significant structural feature for these

## TABLE 10

Bond angles for the  $\frac{-C^3}{-C^5}$  -C4-N7H7-N8=C9-fragment

## in a series of phenylhydrazones

(A) Compounds having no nitro-group (compounds are numbered as in Table 9)

Compound	347	345	547	478	789
(1)	120	116	124	113	111
(5)	116.2	119.6	124.6	115.6	112.3
(7)	117	120	123	117	113
(9)	118.2	120.1	121.6	119.9	117.6
(10)	117.5	120.4	122.1	118.6	120.4
(11)	119.7	117.3	123.0	121.4	117.7
(12)	116.7	119.3	124.0	120.1	115.6
(13)	116.0	121.8	122.1	118.5	120.1
(14)	117.6	119.4	122.9	120.2	117.4
(16)	117.0	120.5	122.5	116.6	117.1
(17)	116.2	123.2	120.6	118.9	116.5
Mean	117.4	119.8	122.8	118.1	116.2
Standard deviation	1 1.42	1.94	1.16	2.45	3.07
(B) Compounds	having an	<i>o</i> -nitro g	roup		
(2)	123.7	116.3	120.0	119.6	115.7
(6)	123.9	116.3	119.8	118.7	115.5
(8)	123.6	116.1	120.3	117.5	118.6
$(\overline{4})$	121.8	117.9	120.3	118.7	119.7
$(\mathbf{\hat{13}})$	122.4	117.5	120.0	118.1	120.4

2.3Standard deviation 0.93 0.820.22compounds. However there are concerted changes in bond length from structure to structure within the two groups. Results of a factor analysis <sup>24</sup> on these three bond lengths for the 17 structures show just one factor with components 0.95, -0.81, 0.82 for the three bonds C(4)-N(7), N(7)-N(8), N(8)-C(9) respectively. This confims that any structure difference in these molecules which affects the electron density of any part of the ring -N(7)-N(8)-C(9) fragment does so in such a way that the conjugated C(4)-N(7) and N(8)-C(9) bond orders increase while the N(7)-N(8) bond order decreases and vice versa.

116.8

120.1

123.1

Variations are also observed in the bond angles; in particular the presence or absence of an o-nitro-group on C(3) affects the geometry at C(4) (Table 10). In the non-nitro-compounds the C(3)-C(4)-N(7) angle is smaller, and the C(3)-C(4)-C(5) and C(5)-C(4)-N(7)angles are larger than those in the o-nitro-compounds (p > 0.95) (mean values 117.4, 119.8, 122.8°, and 123.1, 116.8, 120.1°, respectively). Scattergrams <sup>25</sup> show that the five bond angles are relatively unaffected by changes in the three bond lengths. The maximum correlation between any of the three bonds and one of the five angles is only 0.36.

With these non-nitro-compounds most C(3)-C(4)-N(7)angles are significantly less than  $120^{\circ}$  and most C(5)-C(4)-N(7) angles significantly greater than  $120^{\circ}$ . This clearly suggests that repulsions between N(8) and H(5)are greater than those between H(7) and H(3). With the introduction of the o-nitro-group there must be an additional stronger repulsion between H(7) and the nitro-group which is partly relieved by an increase in the C(3)-C(4)-N(7) angle and a decrease in the C(3)-C(4)-C(5) angle. An additional consideration must be the geometry of the N-O · · · H hydrogen bond.

We are grateful to A. W. Johans for his assistance with the crystallographic investigations, and to Dr. S. Prime for helpful discussions.

[0/778 Received, 21st May, 1980]

REFERENCES

118.0

118.5

0.78

<sup>1</sup> F. Kaberia, B. Vickery, G. R. Willey, and M. G. B. Drew. J.C.S. Perkin II, 1980, 1622.

<sup>2</sup> SHEL-X-76 system of programs, G. M. Sheldrick, 1976.

<sup>3</sup> 'International Tables for  $\breve{X}$ -Ray Crystallography ', Kynoch Press, Birmingham, vol 1V, 1975.

<sup>4</sup> J. Trotter, Acta Cryst., 1959, 12, 884.
<sup>5</sup> J. Trotter, Acta Cryst., 1961, 14, 244.

<sup>6</sup> G. Menczel, G. Samay, and K. Simon, Acta. Chim. Acad. *Sci. Hung.*, 1972, **72**, **441**. <sup>7</sup> P. M. Warner, S. L. Lu, E. Myers, P. W. Dehauer, and R. A.

Jacobson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 1502. <sup>8</sup> A. L. Anderson and J. N. Brown, Cryst. Struct. Comm.,

1976, 5, 197.

9 Y. Hakuda, M. Tabata, A. Suzuki, and A. Furusaki, Chem. Letters, 1975, 269, 241.

<sup>10</sup> G. Menczel, Acta. Chim. Acad. Sci. Hung., 1969, 62, 41.

<sup>11</sup> V. D. Cherepinskii-Molov, B. I. Buzikin, Yu. P. Kitaev, and Yu. T. Struktov, Izvest. Akad. Nauk. S.S.S.R., Ser. Khim., 1975, 805

<sup>12</sup> S. Furberg and J. Solbahl, Acta Chem. Scand., 1969, 23, 3248. <sup>13</sup> M. Bukowska-Štrzyzewska and I. J. Slowyanda, Cryst. Struct. Comm., 1976, 5, 727.

14 L. Guggenberger and G. Teufer, Acta Cryst., 1975, B31, 785. <sup>15</sup> J. Hvoslef and S. Nordenson, Acta. Cryst., 1976, B32, 448.

<sup>16</sup> H. C. Mez, Ber. Bunsengesellschaft. Phys. Chem., 1968, 72, 389.

17 C. T. Grainger and J. F. McConnell, Acta Cryst., 1969, B25, 1962.

<sup>18</sup> R. G. Graziani, G. Bombieri, E. Farsellini, and G. Paralucci,

J. Cryst. Mol. Struct., 1975, 5, 1.
<sup>19</sup> M. J. S. Dewar, 'The Molecular Orbital Theory of Organic Chemistry', McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969, p. 408.

<sup>20</sup> Ref. 19, p. 166.

<sup>21</sup> T. Mishikawa, T. Itah, and K. Shimoda, J. Chem. Phys., 1955, 23, 1735.

22 Ref. 19, p. 381.

<sup>23</sup> P. A. Giguere and V. Schomaker, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1943,

65, 2025.
<sup>24</sup> P. Murray-Rust and S. Motherwell, Acta Cryst., 1978, B34,

<sup>25</sup> All statistical analysis was carried out using 'Statistical Package for the Social Sciences,' eds. N. H. Nie, C. H. Hull, J. G. Jenkins, K. Steinbrenner, and D. H. Bent, McGraw-Hill, New York and London, 1977.

Mean